

C^* -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH REAL MULTIPLICATION

NORIO NAWATA

ABSTRACT. Noncommutative tori with real multiplication are the irrational rotation algebras that have special equivalence bimodules. Y. Manin proposed the use of noncommutative tori with real multiplication as a geometric framework for the study of abelian class field theory of real quadratic fields. In this paper, we consider the Cuntz-Pimsner algebras constructed by special equivalence bimodules of irrational rotation algebras. We shall show that associated C^* -algebras are simple and purely infinite. We compute the K-groups of associated C^* -algebras and show that these algebras are related to the solutions of Pell's equation and the unit groups of real quadratic fields. We consider the Morita equivalent classes of associated C^* -algebras.

Key words: Irrational rotation algebras, Morita equivalence, Cuntz-Pimsner algebras, Real multiplication, Real quadratic fields.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): Primary 46L05, Secondary 11D09, 11R11.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let θ be an irrational number. An irrational rotation algebra A_θ is the crossed product C^* -algebra for the action of the integers on the circle by powers of the rotation by angle $2\pi\theta$. It is simple and has a unique normalized trace τ_θ . These algebras are also called noncommutative tori and have been classified up to C^* -isomorphism and Morita equivalence [14],[15].

Suppose that σ be a free and proper action of a locally compact group G on a locally compact Hausdorff space X . Then X/σ is a locally compact Hausdorff space and $C_0(X) \rtimes_\sigma G$ is Morita equivalent to $C_0(X/\sigma)$ (see [16]). The action of the integers on the circle by powers of the rotation by angle $2\pi\theta$ is free, but every orbit is dense and the orbit space $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{Z}\theta$ behaves badly. By the fact above, we may consider an irrational rotation algebra A_θ serves to represent a quotient space $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{Z}\theta$. This idea is due to A. Connes [3].

An elliptic curve E_ω over $\mathbb{C}$ can be described as the quotient $E_\omega \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega)$ of the complex plane by a 2-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega$ where we can take $\text{Im}(\omega) > 0$. Most elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ have only the multiplication-by- m endomorphisms. An elliptic curve E_ω over $\mathbb{C}$ has extra endomorphisms if and only if ω is in a imaginary quadratic field. In this case, an elliptic curve E_ω is said to have complex multiplication. Such curves have many special properties. One of the important properties is that j -invariant and torsion points of E_ω generate a maximal abelian extension of a imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$. For real quadratic field, a similar description is not known. By the idea above and regarding $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{Z}\theta$ as $\mathbb{R}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta)$, Y.

Manin proposed to use irrational rotation algebras corresponding to real quadratic irrationalities as a replacement of elliptic curves with complex multiplication. We shall explain there exist the analogous properties with complex multiplication in the irrational rotation algebras.

Two C^* -algebras A and B are Morita equivalent if there exists an A - B -equivalence bimodule. An A - B -equivalence bimodule is an A - B -bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ which is simultaneously a full left Hilbert A -module under an A -valued inner product ${}_A\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and a full right Hilbert B -module under a B -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$, satisfying ${}_A\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \zeta = \xi \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_B$ for any $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{E}$. Let α be an automorphism of a C^* -algebra A . We denote by $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ the vector space A with the obvious left A -action and the obvious left A -valued inner product. We define the right A -action on $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ by $\xi \cdot a = \xi \alpha^{-1}(a)$ for any $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ and $a \in A$, and the right A -valued inner product by $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A = \alpha(\xi^* \eta)$ for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$. Then $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ is an A - A -equivalence bimodule. Hence equivalence bimodules are regarded as a generalization of automorphisms of C^* -algebras. The Picard group of C^* -algebra A is the set of isomorphic classes of the A - A -equivalence bimodules [2]. It forms a group structure whose product is defined by tensor product. Let α and β be automorphisms of A . Then $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_\beta$ if and only if α is unitary equivalent to β . Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{E}_\beta$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_{\beta \circ \alpha}$. Hence we obtain an anti-homomorphism of $\text{Aut}(A)/\text{Inn}(A)$ to the Picard group of A .

The equivalence bimodules of irrational rotation algebras are constructed by M. Rieffel. These bimodules are defined as completions of $C_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z})$ or $S(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z})$ with the certain actions of irrational rotation algebras [17], [18]. There exists special A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodules in the case where θ is a quadratic irrational number. In fact, K. Kodaka showed that if θ is not a quadratic irrational number, then the Picard group of A_θ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(A_\theta)/\text{Inn}(A_\theta)$ and that if θ is a quadratic number, then the Picard group of A_θ is isomorphic to a semidirect product of $\text{Aut}(A_\theta)/\text{Inn}(A_\theta)$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ [9]. We may consider that this is analogous to complex multiplication of elliptic curve. Y. Manin called this real multiplication and proposed the use of noncommutative tori with real multiplication as a geometric framework for the study of abelian class field theory of real quadratic fields [10].

In this paper, we consider the Cuntz-Pimsner algebras constructed by the equivalence bimodules of irrational rotation algebras, that are not generated by automorphisms and show that these algebras are related to the solutions of Pell's equation and the unit groups of real quadratic fields.

In Section 2 we consider the A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodules. K. Kodaka consider the A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodules as the automorphisms of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K}$ where $\mathbb{K}$ is the C^* -algebras of all compact operators on a countably infinite dimensional Hilbert space and determine the Picard groups of the irrational rotation algebras in [9]. We consider the equivalence bimodules of irrational rotation algebras neither as the completions of $C_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z})$ ($S(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z})$) nor as the automorphisms of $A_\theta \otimes \mathbb{K}$ in this paper. If A is unital, then an A - A -equivalence bimodule is a finitely generated projective A -module as a right A -module. Note that we need to be careful with a left action. We consider the A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodules from this viewpoint. But we analyze the A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodules by the similar arguments in [9].

In Section 3 we construct C^* -algebras from the bimodules considered in Section 2. We show that these algebras are purely infinite, simple, nuclear and in the UCT class.

In Section 4 we compute the K-groups of the associated C^* -algebras and show that these groups are related to the solutions of Pell's equation, where Pell's equation is $x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm 4$ for given an integer D , to be solved in integers x and y . Moreover, we consider the Morita equivalent classes of associated C^* -algebras.

2. REAL MULTIPLICATION

In this section, we shall consider A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodules and review the result in [9] by the slightly different viewpoint. We refer the reader to [1] for basic facts of C^* -algebras and equivalence bimodules.

We shall review equivalence bimodules of unital C^* -algebras. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Then an A - A -equivalence bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ is a finitely generated projective A -module as a right module. Hence $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to qA^n as a right Hilbert A -module, where q is a projection in $M_n(A)$. A right Hilbert A -module qA^n has a structure of $qM_n(A)q$ - A -equivalence bimodule with the obvious left $qM_n(A)q$ -action and the $qM_n(A)q$ -valued inner product such that ${}_{qM_n(A)q}\langle q(a_i)_i, q(b_i)_i \rangle = q(a_j b_k^*)_{j,k} q$ for any $a_i, b_i \in A$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is an A - A -equivalence bimodule, $qM_n(A)q$ is isomorphic to A . Note that the left A -action on qA^n and the left A -valued inner product is dependent on an isomorphism ϕ from A to $qM_n(A)q$. Let α be an outer automorphism of A and $\mathcal{F}$ be qA^n with the left A -action such that $a \cdot \xi = \phi \circ \alpha(a)\xi$ for any $a \in A$ and $\xi \in qA^n$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$ as an A - A -equivalence bimodule. Therefore we need to be careful with an isomorphism from A to $qM_n(A)q$.

We shall consider the condition of q that qA_θ^n is an A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodule. We need the following well-known fact.

Lemma 2.1. Let q_1, q_2 be projections in A_θ . If $\tau_\theta(q_1) \geq \tau_\theta(q_2)$ (resp. $\tau_\theta(q_1) = \tau_\theta(q_2)$), then there exists a unitary element w in A_θ such that $q_1 \geq w^* q_2 w$ (resp. $q_1 = w^* q_2 w$).

Let $Tr_\theta := \tau_\theta \otimes Tr$ be the unnormalized trace on $M_n(A_\theta)$ where Tr is the usual trace on $M_N(\mathbb{C})$. We have the following lemma (see [8]).

Lemma 2.2. If q is a proper projection in $M_n(A_\theta)$ such that $Tr_\theta(q) = k(c\theta + d)$ where k is a natural number and c, d are integers such that $\gcd(c, d) = 1$, then

$$qM_n(A_\theta)q \cong M_k(A_{\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}})$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = \pm 1$.

Since qA_θ^n is a $qM_n(A_\theta)q$ - A_θ -equivalence bimodule, the condition of q is equivalent to the condition that $Tr_\theta(q) = c\theta + d$ where there exist integers a and b such that $\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d} = \theta$ by the lemma above. We consider the case where θ is not a quadratic number. The following proposition is Corollary 9 in [9].

Proposition 2.3. Let θ be an irrational number and $\mathcal{E}$ be an A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodule. Assume that θ is not a quadratic number. Then there exists an automorphism α such that $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$.

Proof. Let n be a natural number and q be a projection in $M_n(A_\theta)$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to qA_θ^n . We denote by ϕ an isomorphism from A_θ to $qM_n(A_\theta)q$ such that the left A_θ -action on qA_θ^n is defined by $a \cdot \xi = \phi(a)\xi$ for any $a \in A_\theta$ and $\xi \in qA_\theta^n$. By the discussion above, there exist integers a, b, c, d such that $Tr_\theta(q) = c\theta + d$ and $\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d} = \theta$. Since θ is not a quadratic number, $Tr_\theta(q) = c\theta + d = 1$. Hence, there exists a unitary element w in $M_n(A_\theta)$ such that $w^*qw = 1 \otimes e_{11}$ where e_{11} is a rank one projection in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by Lemma 2.1. Since $w^*qwA_\theta^n$ where the left A_θ -action is defined by $a \cdot \xi = w^*\phi(a)w\xi$ for any $a \in A_\theta$ and $\xi \in w^*qwA_\theta^n$ is isomorphic to qA_θ^n , $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to $(1 \otimes e_{11})A_\theta^n$. It is easy to see that $(1 \otimes e_{11})A_\theta^n$ is isomorphic to A_θ where the left A_θ -action is defined by $a \cdot b = w^*\phi(a)wb$ for any $a, b \in A_\theta$. Therefore the proof is complete. $\square$

We consider the case where θ is a quadratic irrational number. We may assume that θ satisfies $k\theta^2 + l\theta + m = 0$ with a natural number k and integers l, m such that $\gcd(k, l, m) = 1$. The equation is uniquely determined. Let $D_\theta = l^2 - 4km$ be the discriminant of θ . The following fact is well-known (see [5] and [8](Remark 7)).

Fact 2.4. Let θ be a quadratic number. Then there exists a real number ϵ_0 such that

$$\{c\theta + d; \frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d} = \theta, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = \pm 1\} = \{\pm \epsilon_0^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The number ϵ_0 is only dependent on D_θ .

We may assume $\epsilon_0 > 1$. A real number ϵ_0 is called a fundamental unit. It is known that the set $\{\pm \epsilon_0^m; m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the unit groups (invertible elements) of $\mathbb{Z}[k\theta]$ which is an order of a real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Especially, if either $D_\theta \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is square free, or $D_\theta \equiv 8$ or 12 modulo 16 and $D_\theta/4$ is square free, then $\mathbb{Z}[k\theta]$ is a ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. We obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. Let θ be a quadratic number with $k\theta^2 + l\theta + m = 0$, and let q be a projection in $M_n(A_\theta)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then qA_θ^n is an A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodule if and only if $Tr_\theta(q)$ is a unit (an invertible element) in $\mathbb{Z}[k\theta]$ which is an order of a real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$.

Since $Tr_\theta(q)$ is a positive number, we have

$$\{Tr_\theta(q); qA_\theta^n \text{ is an } A_\theta - A_\theta - \text{equivalence bimodule}\} = \{\epsilon_0^m; m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We shall consider the relation between the Picard groups of the irrational rotation algebras and the unit groups of the real quadratic fields. We shall show that A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodules are simple form.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodule. Then there exist a projection $q \in A_\theta$ and an isomorphism ϕ from A to $qA_\theta q$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to qA_θ with the obvious right A_θ -action, the obvious right A_θ -valued inner product, the left A_θ -action such that $a \cdot qb = \phi(a)b$ and the left A_θ -valued inner product such that ${}_A\langle pa, pb \rangle = \phi^{-1}(pab^*p)$ or $A_\theta q$ with the obvious left A_θ -action, the obvious left A_θ -valued inner product, the right A_θ -action such that $pb \cdot a = b\phi(a)$ and the right A_θ -valued inner product such that $\langle ap, bp \rangle_{A_\theta} = \phi^{-1}(pa^*bp)$ for any $a, b \in A_\theta$.

Proof. There exist a natural number n and a projection $q \in M_n(A_\theta)$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to qA_θ^n . We denote by ρ an isomorphism from A_θ to $qM_n(A_\theta)q$ such that the left A_θ -action of qA_θ^n is defined by $a \cdot \xi = \rho(a)\xi$ for any $a \in A_\theta$ and $\xi \in qA_\theta^n$.

(1) The case $\text{Tr}_\theta(q) \leq 1$.

By Lemma 2.1, there exists a unitary element w in $M_n(A_\theta)$ such that $w^*qw = q' \otimes e_{11}$ where e_{11} is a rank one projection in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and q' is a projection in A_θ . Since $w^*qwA_\theta^n$ where the left A_θ -action is defined by $a \cdot \xi = w^*\rho(a)w\xi$ for any $a \in A_\theta$ and $\xi \in w^*qwA_\theta^n$ is isomorphic to qA_θ^n , $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to $(q' \otimes e_{11})A_\theta^n$. It is easy to see that $(q' \otimes e_{11})A_\theta^n$ is isomorphic to $q'A_\theta$.

(2) The case $\text{Tr}_\theta(q) \geq 1$.

By Lemma 2.1, there exists a unitary element w in $M_n(A_\theta)$ such that $w^*qw \geq 1 \otimes e_{11}$. It is easy to see that $w^*qwM_n(A_\theta)(1 \otimes e_{11})$ is isomorphic to $w^*qwA_\theta^n$. Hence $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to $w^*qwM_n(A_\theta)(1 \otimes e_{11})$. Since $w^*qw(1 \otimes e_{11}) = 1 \otimes e_{11}$, $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to $w^*qwM_n(A_\theta)w^*qw(1 \otimes e_{11})$. Define a map ψ from A_θ to $w^*qwM_n(A_\theta)w^*qw$ by $\psi(a) = w^*\rho(a)w$ for any $a \in A_\theta$. Then ψ is an isomorphism from A_θ to $w^*qwM_n(A_\theta)w^*qw$. Therefore $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to $A_\theta\psi^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{11})$ where the right A_θ -action is defined by $b\psi^{-1}(1 \otimes e_{11}) \cdot a = b\psi^{-1}(a \otimes e_{11})$ for any $a, b \in A_\theta$. $\square$

Remark 2.7. (1) By the proof, $A_\theta q_1$ is isomorphic to $q_2 A_\theta^n$ such that $\text{Tr}_\theta(q_2) = \tau_\theta(q_1)^{-1}$.

(2) Lemma 2.6 is important in the next section.

We denote by $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi, q}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{\theta, \phi, q}$) the A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodule qA_θ with the left A_θ -action such that $a \cdot qb = \phi(a)b$ (resp. $A_\theta q$ with the right A_θ -action such that $bq \cdot a = b\phi(a)$) for any $a, b \in A_\theta$. We called $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi, q}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\theta, \phi, q}$ real multiplication in the case $q \neq 1$. We shall consider the Picard groups of irrational rotation algebras.

Proposition 2.8. Let q_1 and q_2 be projections in A_θ such that A_θ is isomorphic to $q_1 A_\theta q_1$ and $q_2 A_\theta q_2$. Assume that ϕ_1 (resp. ϕ_2) is an isomorphism from A_θ to $q_1 A_\theta q_1$ (resp. $q_2 A_\theta q_2$). Then $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_2, q_2}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_2 \circ \phi_1, q}$ where q is a projection in A_θ such that $\tau_\theta(q) = \tau_\theta(q_1)\tau_\theta(q_2)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1}$ are isomorphic to A_θ with the obvious actions and the inner products.

Proof. Let $q = \phi_2(q_1)$. Then $\tau_\theta(q) = \tau_\theta(q_1)\tau_\theta(q_2)$ and $q \leq q_2$. Define a map F from $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_2, q_2}$ to $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_2 \circ \phi_1, q}$ by $F(q_1 a \otimes q_2 b) = q\phi_2(a)b$ for any $a, b \in A_\theta$ and extend it by the universality. Since $qq_2 = q$, if $q_1 \otimes q_2 a = q_1 \otimes q_2 b$, then $qa = qb$. Hence F is well-defined. Easy computations show that F is an isomorphism of equivalence bimodule. Since $\mathcal{F}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1}$ is a dual module of $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi_1, q_1}$ are isomorphic to A_θ with the obvious actions and the inner products. $\square$

K. Kodaka showed that if θ is a quadratic number, then the Picard group of A_θ is isomorphic to a semidirect product of $\text{Aut}(A_\theta)/\text{Inn}(A_\theta)$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ [9]. By Remark 2.7 and Proposition 2.8, $\mathbb{Z}$ part is related to the unit group of $\mathbb{Z}[k\theta]$ which is an order of a real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. This is shown by another method in [11].

3. ASSOCIATED C^* -ALGEBRAS

In this section, we construct C^* -algebras associated with real multiplication. We show that these algebras are simple and purely infinite. We recall Cuntz-Pimsner algebras [13]. Let A be a C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{E}$ be a right Hilbert A -module. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$, the rank one operator $\Theta_{\xi, \eta}$ is defined by $\Theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta) = \xi \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_A$ for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{E}$. We denote by $B_A(\mathcal{E})$ the algebra of the adjointable operators on $\mathcal{E}$ and by $K_A(\mathcal{E})$ the closure of the linear span of rank one operators of $\mathcal{E}$. We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is a Hilbert bimodule over A if $\mathcal{E}$ is a right Hilbert A -module with a homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B_A(\mathcal{E})$. We assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is full and ϕ is injective. We define $I_{\mathcal{E}} = \phi^{-1}(K_A(\mathcal{E}))$. The Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by A and $\{S_{\xi}; \xi \in \mathcal{E}\}$ with the following relation

$$S_{\alpha\xi + \beta\eta} = \alpha S_{\xi} + \beta S_{\eta}, \quad a S_{\xi} b = S_{\phi(a)\xi} b, \quad S_{\xi}^* S_{\eta} = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A$$

for any $a, b \in A$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$i_K(\phi(a)) = a$$

for $a \in I_{\mathcal{E}}$, where $i_K : I_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is defined by $i_K(\Theta_{\xi, \eta}) = S_{\xi} S_{\eta}^*$. We shall consider the Cuntz-Pimsner algebras generated by the equivalence bimodules of irrational rotation algebras, that are not generated by automorphisms. By Lemma 2.6, the equivalence bimodule of irrational rotation algebra is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi, q}$ or $\mathcal{F}_{\theta, \phi, q}$. It is easy to see that the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra generated by $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi, q}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra generated by $\mathcal{F}_{\theta, \phi, q}$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{qA_{\theta}, \phi}$ the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra generated by $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi, q}$. Since $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi, q}$ is an equivalence bimodule, $K_{A_{\theta}}(\mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi, q})$ is isomorphic to A_{θ} and $S_{\xi} S_{\eta}^* =_{A_{\theta}} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_{\theta, \phi, q}$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{qA_{\theta}, \phi}$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by A_{θ} and S_q with the following relation; for $a \in A_{\theta}$,

$$a S_q = S_q \phi(a), \quad S_q^* S_q = \langle q, q \rangle_{A_{\theta}} = q, \quad S_q S_q^* =_{A_{\theta}} \langle q, q \rangle = \phi^{-1}(q) = 1.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{O}_{qA_{\theta}, \phi}$ is isomorphic to the conner endomorphism crossed product $A_{\theta} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{N}$. Note that ϕ is regarded as an endomorphism such that $\phi : A_{\theta} \rightarrow qA_{\theta}q \subseteq A_{\theta}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}$ be an A_{θ} - A_{θ} -equivalence bimodule that is not generated by automorphisms. Then the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{qA_{\theta}, \phi}$ generated by $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}$ is purely infinite, simple, nuclear and in the UCT class.

Proof. By [19] (Theorem 3.1.), $\mathcal{O}_{qA_{\theta}, \phi}$ is simple and purely infinite because A_{θ} is a simple unital C^* -algebra of real rank zero and with the property of Lemma 2.1. Since A_{θ} is nuclear and in the UCT class, $\mathcal{O}_{qA_{\theta}, \phi}$ is nuclear and in the UCT class. $\square$

The isomorphism class of C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{qA_{\theta}, \phi}$ is completely determined by the K-group together with the class of the unit by the classification theorem by Kirchberg-Phillips [7], [12]. Especially, the Morita equivalence class of C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{qA_{\theta}, \phi}$ is completely determined by the K-group.

4. K-GROUPS

In this section, we compute the K-group of $\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta, \phi}$. Let $D_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}}$ be the linking algebra of $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}$. The linking algebra of $D_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}}$ is the following form:

$$D_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & \xi \\ \eta & y \end{pmatrix} ; x \in K(\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}) = qA_\theta q, y \in A_\theta, \xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}^* \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}^*$ is a dual module of $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}$. The linking algebra $D_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}}$ has the unique normalized trace Tr_D such that

$$Tr_D\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & \xi \\ \eta & y \end{pmatrix}\right) = \frac{\tau_\theta(x) + \tau_\theta(y)}{1 + \tau_\theta(q)}.$$

The natural embeddings are denoted by

$$i_{K(\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi})} : K_{A_\theta}(\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}) \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}}, i_{A_\theta} : A_\theta \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}}, i : I_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}} \rightarrow A_\theta.$$

By [6] (Proposition B.3.), the inclusion $i_{A_\theta} : A_\theta \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}}$ induces an isomorphism on the K-groups. We can define a map $K_*([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}]) : K_*(I_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}}) \rightarrow K_*(A_\theta)$ by the composition of the map $K_*(\phi)$ induced by the restriction of ϕ to $I_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}}$, the map $K_*(i_{K(\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi})})$ induced by $i_{K(\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi})}$ and the inverse of the isomorphism $K_*(i_{A_\theta})$. We have the following exact sequence [6]

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(I_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}}) & \xrightarrow{K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}])} & K_0(A_\theta) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta, \phi}) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta, \phi}) & \longleftarrow & K_1(A_\theta) & \xleftarrow{K_1(i) - K_1([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}])} & K_1(I_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}}) \end{array}$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}$ is an equivalence bimodule, $K_*(i_{K(\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi})})$ is an isomorphism and $I_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}} = A_\theta$. Therefore $K_*([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}]) \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ because $K_0(A_\theta) \cong K_1(A_\theta) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $K_*(\phi)$ is an isomorphism. By [4], there exists an automorphism α of A_θ such that $K_1(\alpha) = g$ for any $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Hence $K_1([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}])$ is depend on ϕ and can be any element in $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $B_{\theta, q, \phi} = K_1(i) - K_1([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}])$. We shall consider $K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}])$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}$ be an A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodule such that $\tau_\theta(q) = c\theta + d$ for $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 < \theta < 1$. Then there exist integers a and b such that $\frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d} = \theta$ and $K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}]) = \begin{pmatrix} d & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix}$ for some basis of $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Proof. We can choose $< [1], [p] >$ where $\tau_\theta(p) = \theta$ as a basis of $K_0(A_\theta)$ [14], [15]. Since $K_0(i_{A_\theta})$ is an isomorphism, $< [i_{A_\theta}(1)], [i_{A_\theta}(p)] >$ is a basis of $K_0(D_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}})$. Easy computations show that $Tr_D(i_{K(\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi})}(\phi(1))) = \frac{\tau_\theta(q)}{1 + \tau_\theta(q)}$, $Tr_D(i_{K(\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi})}(\phi(p))) = \frac{\theta\tau_\theta(q)}{1 + \tau_\theta(q)}$, $Tr_D(i_{A_\theta}(1)) = \frac{1}{1 + \tau_\theta(q)}$ and $Tr_D(i_{A_\theta}(p)) = \frac{\theta}{1 + \tau_\theta(q)}$. Let $K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}]) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ for a basis $< [1], [p] >$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tau_\theta(q)}{1 + \tau_\theta(q)} &= \frac{x_{11} + x_{21}\theta}{1 + \tau_\theta(q)}, \\ \frac{\theta\tau_\theta(q)}{1 + \tau_\theta(q)} &= \frac{x_{12} + x_{22}\theta}{1 + \tau_\theta(q)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the discussion in Section 2, there exist integers a and b such that $\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d} = \theta$. Therefore we have $x_{11} = d$, $x_{12} = c$, $x_{21} = b$ and $x_{22} = a$. $\square$

By the proposition above, we shall show some examples.

Example 4.2. Let $\theta = \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and q be a projection in A_θ such that $\tau_\theta(q) = \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = \theta$. Then $\frac{-\theta+1}{2} = \theta$ and $K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta,q,\phi}]) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. By the exact sequence, we have

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}) \cong \ker(B_{\theta,q,\phi}), \quad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 / \text{Im}(B_{\theta,q,\phi}).$$

Example 4.3. Let $\theta = \frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10}$ and q be a projection in A_θ such that $\tau_\theta(q) = \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = 5\theta - 3$. Then $\frac{2\theta-1}{5\theta-3} = \theta$ and $K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta,q,\phi}]) = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -1 \\ 5 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. By the exact sequence, we have

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}) \cong \ker(B_{\theta,q,\phi}), \quad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 / \text{Im}(B_{\theta,q,\phi}).$$

Example 4.4. Let $\theta = \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and q be a projection in A_θ such that $\tau_\theta(q) = \sqrt{5} - 2 = 2\theta - 1$. Then $\frac{-3\theta+2}{2\theta-1} = \theta$ and $K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta,q,\phi}]) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$. By the exact sequence, we have

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \ker(B_{\theta,q,\phi}), \quad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 / \text{Im}(B_{\theta,q,\phi}).$$

We shall consider the condition of $\theta_1, \theta_2, q_1, q_2$ that $\mathcal{O}_{q_1A_{\theta_1},\phi_1}$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{q_2A_{\theta_2},\phi_2}$. The following proposition is natural.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\theta_1,q_1,\phi_1}$ be an A_{θ_1} - A_{θ_1} -equivalence bimodule and $\mathcal{E}_{\theta_2,q_2,\phi_2}$ be an A_{θ_2} - A_{θ_2} -equivalence bimodule such that A_{θ_1} is Morita equivalent to A_{θ_2} and $\tau_{\theta_1}(q_1) = \tau_{\theta_2}(q_2)$. Assume that $\mathbb{Z}^2 / \text{Im}((B_{\theta_1,q_1,\phi_1}))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2 / \text{Im}(B_{\theta_2,q_2,\phi_2})$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{q_1A_{\theta_1},\phi_1}$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{q_2A_{\theta_2},\phi_2}$.

Proof. We can assume that $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < 1$. Since A_{θ_1} is Morita equivalent to A_{θ_2} , there exists $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\theta_1 = g\theta_2$ by [15]. There exist $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tau_{\theta_1}(q_1) = \tau_{\theta_2}(q_2) = c_1\theta_1 + d_1 = c_2\theta_2 + d_2$. The discussion in Section 2 shows that there exists $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \theta_1 = \theta_1$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \theta_2 = \theta_2$. By the computation, we have $g \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence $\text{Ker}(K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta_1,q_1,\phi_1}]))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ker}(K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta_2,q_2,\phi_2}]))$ and $\text{Im}(K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta_1,q_1,\phi_1}]))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Im}(K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta_2,q_2,\phi_2}]))$ by Proposition 4.1. Therefore the K-group of $\mathcal{O}_{q_1A_{\theta_1},\phi_1}$ is isomorphic to the K-group of $\mathcal{O}_{q_2A_{\theta_2},\phi_2}$. Consequently, $\mathcal{O}_{q_1A_{\theta_1},\phi_1}$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{q_2A_{\theta_2},\phi_2}$. $\square$

We shall show that the K-group of $\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}$ is related to Pell's equation. We review elementary number theory. Let θ be a quadratic irrational number. We may assume that θ satisfies $k\theta^2 + l\theta + m = 0$ with a natural number k and integers l, m such that $\gcd(k, l, m) = 1$. The equation is uniquely determined. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be an element in $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d} = \theta$.

Then the $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ can be written in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t+lu}{2} & ku \\ -mu & \frac{t-lu}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where t, u are integers such that

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 - D_\theta u^2 &= 4 \quad \text{if } ad - bc = 1 \\ t^2 - D_\theta u^2 &= -4 \quad \text{if } ad - bc = -1. \end{aligned}$$

The equation above is called Pell's equation. By the above, $c\theta + d = \frac{t+u\sqrt{D_\theta}}{2}$. Especially, if $t, u > 0$ are minimum integers satisfying one of the equations above, then $\frac{t+u\sqrt{D_\theta}}{2}$ is a fundamental unit. We shall determine the K-group of $\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta, \phi}$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}$ be A_θ - A_θ -equivalence bimodule such that $\tau_\theta(q) = \frac{t+u\sqrt{D_\theta}}{2}$ where D_θ is a discriminant of θ . Define $B_{\theta, q, \phi} = K_1(i) - K_1([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}])$. Then $B_{\theta, q, \phi}$ can be any element in $\{1 - g; g \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})\}$ by the choice of ϕ and we have the following.

(1) If $t^2 - D_\theta u^2 = 4$, then

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta, \phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/s_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\frac{2-t}{s_1}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \ker(B_{\theta, q, \phi}), \quad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta, \phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2/Im(B_{\theta, q, \phi})$$

where s_1 is a maximal integer such that $2 - t = es_1^2$ and $u = fs_1$ for some $e, f \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) If $t^2 - D_\theta u^2 = -4$ then

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta, \phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/s_2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\frac{t}{s_2}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \ker(B_{\theta, q, \phi}), \quad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta, \phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2/Im(B_{\theta, q, \phi})$$

where s_2 is a maximal integer such that $t = es_2^2$ and $u = fs_2$ for some $e, f \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We may consider $0 < \theta < 1$. Let $\theta' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \theta$ and q' be a projection in $A_{\theta'}$ such that $\tau_{\theta'}(q') = \tau_\theta(q)$. Then there exists $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}]) = g^{-1}(K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta', q', \phi}]))g$ by Proposition 4.5. It is easy to see that $m(\theta')^2 + (l+m)\theta + k + l + m = 0$ and $0 < \theta' < 1$. Proof of (1).

The equation $t^2 - D_\theta u^2 = 4$ gives $e(2+t) = -f^2 D_\theta$. It is easy to see that es_1 is an odd number if and only if t is an odd number and D_θ is an odd number if and only if l is an odd number. From these, we see that $\frac{es_1-lf}{2}$ and $\frac{es_1+lf}{2}$ are integers by elementary computations. Therefore there exist integers $x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}$ such that $K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}]) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{t-lu}{2} & -ku \\ mu & 1 - \frac{t+lu}{2} \end{pmatrix} = s_1 \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. The greatest common divisor of the matrix elements of $K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}])$ is equal to the greatest common divisor of the matrix elements of $K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta', q', \phi}])$ because $K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta, q, \phi}]) = g^{-1}(K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta', q', \phi}]))g$. Since $K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta', q', \phi}]) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{t-(l+m)u}{2} & -mu \\ (k+l+m)u & 1 - \frac{t+(l+m)u}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\gcd(k, m, k+l+m) =$

1, $\gcd(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}) = 1$. An easy computation shows that $\det(K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta,q,\phi}])) = 2-t$. Hence there exists $g_1, g_2 \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $g_1(K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta,q,\phi}]))g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2-t}{s_1} \end{pmatrix}$. By the exact sequence, the proof is complete.

Proof of (2).

By an easy computation, $\det(K_0(i) - K_0([\mathcal{E}_{\theta,q,\phi}])) = -t$. It is proved in the similar way of (1). $\square$

We shall show some examples.

Example 4.7. Let $\theta = \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and q be a projection in A_θ such that $\tau_\theta(q) = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Then we have

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}) \cong \ker(B_{\theta,q,\phi}), \quad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 / \text{Im}(B_{\theta,q,\phi}).$$

Example 4.8. Let $\theta = \sqrt{5} - 2$ and q be a projection in A_θ such that $\tau_\theta(q) = \sqrt{5} - 2 = \frac{-4+\sqrt{20}}{2}$. Then we have

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \ker(B_{\theta,q,\phi}), \quad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 / \text{Im}(B_{\theta,q,\phi}).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{O}_{qA_\theta,\phi}$ is not Morita equivalent to the C^* -algebra in Example 4.4.

The following corollary is an extension of Proposition 4.5.

Corollary 4.9. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\theta_1,q_1,\phi_1}$ be an A_{θ_1} - A_{θ_1} -equivalence bimodule and $\mathcal{E}_{\theta_2,q_2,\phi_2}$ be an A_{θ_2} - A_{θ_2} -equivalence bimodule such that $D_{\theta_1} = D_{\theta_2}$ and $\tau_\theta(q_1) = \tau_\theta(q_2)$. Assume that $\mathbb{Z}^2 / \text{Im}(B_{\theta_1,q_1,\phi_1})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2 / \text{Im}(B_{\theta_2,q_2,\phi_2})$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{q_1A_{\theta_1},\phi_1}$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{q_2A_{\theta_2},\phi_2}$.

Remark 4.10. Let $\theta_1 = \sqrt{10} - 3$ and $\theta_2 = \frac{2+\sqrt{10}}{3}$. Then $D_{\theta_1} = D_{\theta_2} = 40$ and A_{θ_1} is not Morita equivalent to A_{θ_2} . (This fact is related to the fact class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$ is two.) Therefore Corollary 4.9 is an extension of Proposition 4.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Blackadar, *Operator Algebras : Theory of C^* -Algebras and von Neumann Algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **122**, Springer, 2006.
- [2] L. G. Brown, P.Green and M. A. Rieffel, *Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977) 349-363.
- [3] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press Inc., SanDiego, CA, 1994.
- [4] G. Elliott and M. Rørdam, *The automorphism group of the irrational rotation C^* -algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **155** (1993), no. 1, 3-26.
- [5] D.C.F. Gauss, *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*, 1801.
- [6] T. Katsura, *On C^* -algebras associated with C^* -correspondences*, J. Functional Analysis, **217** (2004) 366-401.
- [7] E. Kirchberg, *The classification of purely infinite C^* -algebras using Kasparov's theory*, preprint, 1994.
- [8] K. Kodaka, *Endomorphisms of certain irrational rotation C^* -algebras*, Illinois J. Math. **36** (1992) 643-658.
- [9] K. Kodaka, *Picard groups of irrational rotation C^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **56** (1997) 179-188.
- [10] Y. Manin, *Real multiplication and noncommutative geometry*, In: The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, Springer Verlag, Berlin (2004) 685-727.

- [11] N. Nawata and Y. Watatani, *Fundamental groups of simple C^* -algebras with unique trace*, Preprint.
- [12] N. C. Phillips, *A Classification Theorem for Nuclear Purely Infinite Simple C^* -algebras*, Documenta Math. (2000), no 5, 49-114.
- [13] M. Pimsner, *A class of C^* -algebra generalizing both Cuntz-Krieger algebras and crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}$* , In: Free probability theory, AMS, (1997) 189-212.
- [14] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, *Imbedding the irrational rotation C^* -algebra into an AF-algebra*, J. Operator Theory, **4** (1980) 201-210.
- [15] M. A. Rieffel, *C^* -algebras associated with irrational rotations*, Pacific J. Math, **93** No.2(1981) 415-429.
- [16] M. A. Rieffel, *Applications of strong Morita equivalence to transformation group C^* -algebras*, In: Operator Algebras and Applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. **38**, AMS providence, RI (1982) 299-310.
- [17] M. A. Rieffel, *The cancellation theorem for projective modules over irrational rotation C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **47** (1983) 285-302.
- [18] M. A. Rieffel, *Projective modules over higher-dimensional noncommutative tori*, Cand. J. Math. **40** (1988) 257-338.
- [19] M. Rørdam, *Classification of certain infinite simple C^* -algebras*, J. Functional Analysis, **131** (1995) 415-458.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, HAKOZAKI, FUKUOKA, 812-8581, JAPAN

E-mail address: n-nawata@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp